IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

PHYLLIS ANDREWS, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

Civil Action No. 07-3368 (SCR)

ARTHUR B. MODELL,

Defendant.

DEFENDANT'S OPPOSITION TO PLAINTIFFS' MOTION TO REMAND

EXHIBIT 3

	Page 1
1	
2	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MARYLAND
3	
	THOMAS E. MINOGUE, Trustee and THOMAS O.
4	CALLAGHAN, Co-Trustee of the PHYLLIS ANDREWS
	FAMILY TRUST, et al.,
[*] 5	
	Plaintiffs,
6	
	vs. No. 1:03-CV-03391
. 7	
-	ARTHUR B. MODELL,
8	ORIGINAL
	Defendant.
9)
10	
11	
12	DEPOSITION OF ROBERT ANDREWS
13	Armonk, New York
14	Monday, May 10, 2004
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	Demonstrad burn
21	Reported by:
22	Alison M. Pisciotta
22	JOB NO. 160168
23	
24	
25	
4	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

Page 38 . 1 R. Andrews facts surrounding the creation of that trust? 2 3 Yes, I have some information on that 4 trust. What information do you have, sir? 5 6 It was recommended to her by a law firm that specializes in wills, trusts and 7 8 estates. 9 0 What law firm was that? Cummings, Lochwood. Stamford, 10 Α 11 Connecticut. 12 Q Do you know why the trust was established? 13 I believe if you ask the attorneys, 14 Α 15 it's a better way of succession than not doing a trust. 16 Do you know what the assets of the 17 trust were, sir? 18 19 There is money in the trust. 20 How much money is in the trust, sir? Q 21 I believe it was \$200,000 in the Α 22 trust. What was the source or the origin of 23 0 that money? 24 It was gifted into the trust. 25 Α

	Page 39
1	R. Andrews
2	Q From whom?
3	A From Phyllis Andrews.
4	Q When did that occur?
5	A This time frame.
6	Q This time frame meaning what, sir?
7	A '99.
8	Q Other than the \$200,000, did the
9	trust have any other assets in 1999, sir?
10	A The trust exchanged its money for the
11	interest in the team, the contract.
12	Q Sorry, I don't quite follow that.
13	Could you explain that to me. Explain your
14	answer. I just didn't follow it.
15	A The trust exchanged the money for the
16	contract between my father and Art Modell.
17	Q Well, sir, are you saying the trust
18	transferred the \$200,000 to somebody?
19	A Trust bought the contract from
20	Phyllis Andrews.
21	Q Is that the source of the \$200,000?
22	A I don't understand that.
23	Q Okay. Fair enough. Let me ask it
24	this way, sir. What do you understand the
25	assets of the trust to be as of 1999?

Page 59 1 R. Andrews Any other lawyers that you recall 2 Q hearing to have been lawyers who represented 3 your father or his company? 4 No, I can't think of any right now. 5 Α Were you ever told or do you have any 6 0 7 information, sir, that subsequent to the date of the letter agreement between your father . 8 and Mr. Modell in February of 1963, whether 9 your father did any work for Mr. Modell? 1.0 A When I got to the office in '72, 11 around there, I believe somebody there said 12 they used to do books and records or 13 something for Mr. Modell. But I don't think 14 it was going on when I was there. 15 Have you looked to see if your 16 0 company has any books or records that relate 17 to any work that either it or your father's 18 predecessor company did for Mr. Modell? 19 I haven't looked at that, no. 20 Α Have either you personally or the 21 0 Vincent Andrews Management Company been 22 involved in federal bankruptcy procedures? 23 24 \mathbf{A} Yes, we have.

You personally or the company or

Q

25

	Page 60
1	R. Andrews
2	both?
3	A Both.
4	Q When were those proceedings
5	commenced, sir?
6	A 1994.
7	Q What precipitated that?
8	A We were in a contractual dispute and
9	we were facing a jury loss and we had no way
10	of posting a bond or paying the bill, so we
11	put ourselves into bankruptcy until we could
12	work out the appeal process.
13	Q Do I understand your testimony
14	correctly, both you personally and the
15	company filed for bankruptcy?
16	A Yes.
17	Q Did your brother Vincent Andrews also
18	file?
19	A Yes.
20	Q What is the status of those
21	proceedings?
22.	A It's on appeal. We had a
23	determination in our favor. The plaintiffs
24	get a second shot at that. That's in the
25	appellate court right now.

	Page 61
1	R. Andrews
2	Q What is on appeal, if you know?
3	A We appealed the verdict in the case.
4	Q You are talking about the underlying
5	case?
6	A Uh-huh.
17	Q Is that what you are talking about,
8	sir?
9	A Let's start from scratch.
10	Q What's the status of the bankruptcy
11	case?
12	A Everything in the bankruptcy case is
13	on hold waiting to find out what happens in
14	the other case.
15	Q The other case to which you refer is
16	what?
17	A The underlying case which we lost in
18	the California lower court, which was
19	overturned in the appellate court. But as I
20	said, they get a second opportunity at that.
21	That's up in the appellate level right now.
22	Q Let's get this straight. You are
23	talking about the underlying case, what case
24	is that?
25	A There is a contract dispute between

•	Page 62
.1	R. Andrews
2	Lefit Pincay and Chris McCarron and Vincent
3	Andrews Management Corporation and Vincent
4	Andrews and Robert Andrews.
5	Q The nature of that dispute is what?
6	A They claim they paid additional
7	management fees over and above what they
8	thought was the only fee they should be
9	paying. We said that the additional fees
10	that you paid for were outside the scope of
11	your initial retainer. That with
12	specifically had you signed off on the
13	additional fees in writing. They said they
14	read the documents, they never read those
15	documents and they couldn't be held for the
16	additional fees.
17	Q And do I understand your testimony
18	correctly that that case has been tried?
19	A That case was over in '92.
20	Q There was a trial, was there not?
21	A Yes, there was.
22	Q Was it a jury trial?
23	A Yes, it was.
24	Q I'm correct, am I not, that the jury
25	returned a verdict in favor of the plaintiffs

Page 63 R. Andrews 1 McCarron and Pincay and against you, your 2 brother and the Vincent Andrews Management 3 Company, correct? 4 5 That's correct. Α That verdict was in what amount? 6 0 I don't remember the exact numbers Α 7 but it was a couple of million dollars. 8 Do you recall what the legal claims 9 were in that case, sir? 10 The first instance it was a RECO Α 11 Okay. That was the basis for the 12 claim. that decision. 13 Were there any other claims? 14 Q Then you had the second claim under 15 Α the state law issues. That was the second 16 set of claims. The first set of claims were 17 dismissed by the appellate court. Second set 18 of claims are up at the appellate level now. 19 Point of fact, isn't it true, that 20 what happened was that the jury returned a 21 verdict both on the RECO claim and on the 22. fraud claim. Then the appellate court ruled 23 that the RECO claim was barred by the statute 24 of limitations? 25

Page 64 R. Andrews . 1 2 I don't think that's accurate at Α I think the jury returned a verdict 3 under a RECO claim. Then also under a 4 punitive damages claim. I don't know the 5 term fraud was ever in there. 6 Q Punitive damages, common law, 7 punitive damages? 8 The appellate court ruled that they 9 knew the law case now is they probably knew 10 they constructively they had to have known 11 what was in these contracts and therefore 12. because they knew they can't say that they 13 didn't know and the statute of limitations 14 prevents that. 15 But the common law punitive damages 16 claim, what is the status of that? 17 That's now under appeal. In the 9th 18 circuit in California. 19 Hasn't the 9th circuit in fact 20

22 A No, it hasn't.

affirmed that, sir?

Q Are you aware of any issue in the case about failure to file a timely appeal?

25 A Yes, but on Friday the 9th circuit

21

Page 65 · 1 R. Andrews 2 agreed to take over that issue on bank and 3 they avoided the one you are referring to. 4 But you are aware of that issue, are you not, sir? 5 6 Α Yes. 7 Q That there was an issue as to whether the failure to file an appeal within 30 days 8 as provided by the federal rules was excusable negligent, correct? 10 11 Yes. Α Have you filed any claims against the 12 counsel in that case, sir? 13 No, I haven't. 14 \mathbf{A} Do you have any agreement with 15 Q counsel in that case for as it relates to 16 17 counsel's representation of you in this case? 18 I have given you a lot of MR. HAYES: 19 leeway, Mr. Tyler. Particularly for 20 someone who has made a lot of noise about the confidentiality, privacy concerns of 21 22 his client. I would ask you and you can do it 23 24 without the witness here to tell me what 25 this line of questioning has to do with

	Page 66
1	R. Andrews
2	anything in this case other than
3	harassing the people in this room.
4	Would you like to do that with the
5	witness present or with the witness
6	excused?
7	MR. TYLER I would like to ask the
8	questions.
9	MR. HAYES: We have had enough on
10	this line of questioning. If you don't
11	want to explain the basis for the
12	questioning with the witness either here
13	or outside of the room, I'm going to ask
14	you to move on.
15	Q Do you have an agreement with
16	counsel, sir, to withhold filing any claim
17	against them for failing to file a timely
18	appeal?
19	MR. HAYES: Mr. Tyler was there
20	something about the last thing I said
21	that was not clear?
22	MR. TYLER: Sir, I take no
23	instructions from you. You understand
24	that.
25	MR. HAYES: No. I do understand that

Page 67 1 R. Andrews but you understand particularly if you 2 3 refuse to confer with me, even with the 4 witness out of the room, about what point 5 this line of questioning has with this case, I have the right to suspend the 6 deposition and seek a protective order. 7 Let me finish. Since you are refusing 8 even to discuss outside the witnesses 1.0 presence what purpose this line of 11 questioning has, other than harassment, 12 I'm reluctantly forced to assume that it 13 has no purpose other than harassment. 14 Therefore, I'm telling you for the second 15 time, that if you don't move on, I will 16 suspend the deposition and seek a 17 protective order. MR. TYLER: We will just call the 18 19 judge. 20 MR. HAYES: You can call the judge. 21 Let me make it clear, sir. Your counsel in the Pincay and McCarron case --22 23 MR. HAYES: You don't need to lecture 24 It's not a question. the witness. It's the same darn topic. 25

Esquire Deposition Services D.C. - 1-800-441-3376

MD - 1-800-539-6398 VA - 1-800-752-8979

	Page 68
1	R. Andrews
2	MR. TYLER: It's a different
3	question.
4	Q Is it correct sir, that your counsel
5	in the Pincay and McCarron case is the same
6	counsel as you have in this case against Mr.
7	Modell?
8	MR. HAYES: What does that have to do
9	with anything? Of course it's all about
10	the same topic. You know you are asking
11	what the 9th circuit decided. You want a
12	copy of the order from Friday you can get
13	it. The majority of the active judge on
14	the 9th circuit decided appellate
15	decision was sufficiently of concern to
16	merit review.
17	Why don't you stop behaving
18	inappropriately, going into completely
19	irrelevant things and finish your
20	examination on issues in this case?
21	MR. TYLER: I'm entitled to have an
22	answer to this question.
23	Q Is your counsel in this case the same
24	as your counsel in the Pincay and McCarron
25	case?

Page 69 R. Andrews 1 MR. HAYES: We are going to take a 2 break. Come on, take a break. 3 Are you instructing the MR. TYLER: 4 witness not to answer that question? 5 6 MR. HAYES: I have told you three times now. You are interrupting me. 7 have told you three times if you don't 8 move on to a relevant topic we will 9 suspend the deposition and move for a 10 protective order. 11 I'm now giving you an opportunity to 12 behave like a gentleman by taking a 13 break. We can come back, you can climb 14 down off your perch you are on. We can 15 finish the deposition. We are taking a 16 break. 17 Same question will be MR. TYLER: 18 pending upon your return, sir. 19 20 MR. HAYES: Great. 21 (Recess.) Mr. Andrews, is your interest in the 22 letter agreement Exhibit 4 reported anywhere 23 as an asset in connection with your personal 24 bankruptcy proceeding? 25

Esquire Deposition Services D.C. - 1-800-441-3376

MD - 1-800-539-6398 VA - 1-800-752-8979